Local Media Services Company
t: 08 9498 7817
f: 08 6311 7462
e: admin@lmsc.com.au

Legal and Integrity Risks of Offshoring and Subcontracting in Media Production and Broadcast Services

Date: 31st October 2025

PREAMBLE

This document has been commissioned and prepared for Local Media Services Company Pty Ltd ABN 69 118 110 571 by ***Benjamin Haywood*** of ***Sense The Next Step*** Strategy & Consulting.

www.sensethenextstep.com.au

1. Purpose

This document outlines the legal, integrity, and operational risks associated with the offshoring or subcontracting of production, streaming, and broadcast service functions within the Australian media services sector.

It supports Local Media Service Company **(LMSC)** policy position that all work is delivered by directly engaged, locally based crew, ensuring compliance, accountability, and quality assurance in line with Australian standards

Offshoring and subcontracting may appear cost-effective in the short term, but in the context of live and recorded media services, they introduce unacceptable levels of legal, operational, and integrity risk.

LMSC's direct-engagement, local-operations model is a deliberate and responsible decision that safeguards our people, our clients, and our reputation, ensuring compliance, continuity, and excellence in every production.

2. Context

LMSC operates in a high-trust environment where content, brand integrity, and safety are paramount.

Media production involves live and recorded access to:

- Sensitive client material and intellectual property
- Personal data (e.g., participants, audience, event staff)
- On-site technical, security, and safety environments
- Time-critical, reputation-sensitive operations

These factors mean that the use of offshore or subcontracted labour introduces significant compliance, risk, and quality exposure, often underestimated by less regulated operators.

Local Media Services Company
t: 08 9498 7817
f: 08 6311 7462
e: admin@lmsc.com.au

3. Legal and Regulatory Risks

3.1 Employment and Industrial Relations Compliance

- Subcontracting can create ambiguity over employment status, undercutting minimum award conditions or superannuation obligations under the Fair Work Act 2009.
- Offshoring labour functions (e.g., editing, streaming support, administration) can expose the company to non-compliance with Australian labour standards, given offshore workers are not covered by Australian workplace protections.
- “Sham contracting” arrangements risk prosecution and penalties under sections 357–359 of the Fair Work Act.
- Failure of offshoring labour arrangements (remuniration under FWA obligations) would leave principal company liable under current legislation.

3.2 Workplace Health and Safety (WHS) Obligations

- When services are subcontracted or delivered offshore, the primary business may lose effective control over the work environment, supervision, and WHS compliance, breaching duties under the Work Health and Safety Act 2011 (Cth) and state equivalents.
- Offshore or unsupervised contractors may not meet Australian WHS training, certification, or insurance requirements.
- Under federal and state legislation llability would fall to the principal company in the event of a safety breach or indicent.

3.3 Insurance and Indemnity Exposure

- Subcontractors may not carry Workers’ Compensation or Public Liability insurance valid in Australia, leaving the principal company liable for incidents.
- Offshore work introduces jurisdictional uncertainty, Australian insurers may not cover incidents, IP breaches, or cyber claims occurring overseas.

3.4 Privacy and Data Protection

- Offshoring post-production, live streaming, or media management may contravene the Privacy Act 1988 (Cth) and Australian Privacy Principles (APPs), especially APP 8 (cross-border disclosure of personal information).
- Transferring client or audience data offshore requires explicit consent and equivalent data safeguards, which are rarely achievable in practice.
- Breaches can result in significant civil penalties and reputational harm.

3.5 Intellectual Property (IP) and Confidentiality

- Subcontracted or offshore parties may claim ownership or misuse of IP, footage, or creative content in the absence of robust local contractual protection.
- Enforcement of IP rights across jurisdictions is complex, costly, and often unenforceable.
- Breaches of confidentiality or data loss offshore are difficult to investigate or remediate.

3.6 Contractual and Client Risk

- Subcontracting without disclosure can breach client contracts, many of which require direct employment or pre-approval of personnel.
- Offshoring can void NDAs, confidentiality clauses, or insurance warranties tied to Australian jurisdiction.

4. Integrity and Ethical Risks

4.1 Accountability and Oversight

- Subcontracted or offshore work diminishes visibility and control over who performs the work, how it's done, and whether ethical standards are met.
- It weakens direct relationships with clients and undermines trust built on transparency and local reliability.

4.2 Quality Control

- Outsourced work often lacks the real-time feedback loop and technical standards required for live broadcasting and production reliability.
- Variability in training, equipment, and production methods leads to inconsistent outcomes that can damage both brand and client confidence.

4.3 Misrepresentation and Reputational Damage

- Some operators falsely present subcontracted labour as "in-house crew," which can constitute misleading and deceptive conduct under the Australian Consumer Law (ACL).
- Reputational fallout from a safety incident, payment dispute, or data breach involving an offshore or subcontracted party can have lasting brand impact.

4.4 Ethical Employment Standards

- Offshore and subcontracting models can conceal exploitative labour practices, lack of superannuation, and underpayment — issues that conflict with LMSC's ethical employment stance.
- Clients and public agencies increasingly require demonstrable evidence of local, fair and transparent labour practices as part of procurement and ESG (Environmental, Social and Governance) frameworks.

5. Operational Risks

Risk Area	**Potential Impact**	**Mitigation (LMSC Approach)**
Loss of operational control	Safety, quality, and accountability reduced	All crew directly engaged and supervised by LMSC
Data and IP exposure	Client content leaked or misused	No offshoring; all data managed within Australia
Insurance gaps	No coverage for injuries, equipment, or liability offshore	Maintain WC & PL in all operating states
Workforce instability	Turnover, poor reliability of third parties	Build trained, loyal local crew base
Brand damage	Client confidence eroded by inconsistent delivery	Promote transparent, local, ethical operations

6. Strategic Justification for LMSC's Local Model

By maintaining direct, onshore engagement of all crew, LMSC ensures:

- Full compliance with Australian labour, safety, and privacy law
- Consistent quality control and immediate accountability
- Transparent, ethical business practices aligned with industry standards
- Local job creation and skill development in the media and broadcast sector
- Strengthened trust with clients and partners through integrity and professionalism